## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of

Protecting the Privacy of Customers of Broadband and Other Telecommunications Services WC Docket No. 16-106

## REPLY COMMENTS OF LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC.

Level 3 Communications, LLC (Level 3) has petitioned for reconsideration of two aspects of the *Privacy Order*.<sup>1</sup> First, Level 3 requests that the Commission reconsider the *Privacy Order*'s requirement that to be exempt from Part 64, Subpart U of the Commission's rules, enterprise voice providers must have a customer contract that "specifically addresses the issues of transparency, choice, data security, and data breach and provides a mechanism for the customer to communicate with the carrier about privacy and data security concerns." Instead, the Commission should exempt enterprise voice service providers from Subpart U by rule.

Second, Level 3 requests that the Commission reconsider its decision to preempt state privacy, data security, and data breach laws "only to the extent that they are inconsistent with any rules adopted by the Commission" in the enterprise voice service context.<sup>3</sup> Failing to preempt state laws in the enterprise voice context leaves in place a patchwork of federal and state law on

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Protecting the Privacy of Customers of Broadband and Other Telecomms. Servs., Report and Order, FCC 16-148, WC Docket No. 16-106, 306 (rel. Nov. 2, 2016) ("Privacy Order") (implementing 47 U.S.C. § 222).

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  *Id.* at ¶¶ 15, 306.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id.* ¶¶ 16, 324.

the very same subjects, which will impair this flexibility and leave in place burdensome and unnecessary regulations.

There is not a single objection to Level 3's petition in the record.<sup>4</sup> It should be granted.

March 16, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Nicholas G. Alexander
Nicholas G. Alexander
Associate General Counsel
Federal Affairs
Level 3 Communications
1220 L Street, NW
Suite 660
Washington, DC 20005
571.730.6473
Nick.Alexander@level3.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> One commenter has asked the Commission to clarify the extent to which the *Privacy Order* preempts state privacy standards, but this request is focused on the impact of the *Privacy Order* on mass market customers. *See* Letter from Jonathon Paul Hauenschild, Director, Task Force on Communications and Technology, American Legislative Exchange Council, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WC Docket No. 16-106 (filed Mar. 6, 2017). Level 3 does not take a position on state preemption outside of the enterprise voice service context.